FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT Eastern District of Washington

1 2 3	Jon Scott Vrieling WITHOUT REPRESE Patricia Dionn Vrieling WITHOUT REPI 2437 Westshore Drive Moses Lake, Washington [98837]	
4 5 6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF WAS	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	UNITED STATES, vs. J. SCOTT VRIELING P. DIONN VRIELING Defendant)	Case No.: CR-11-57-RHW Motion for Discovery as Retraxit with Status and Disclosure Affidavit of Material Facts in Support EXHIBIT R
16171819202122		AXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT EXHIBIT L Page 1 of 14

1

4

5

BILL OF PARTICULARS

& requests for discovery follow.

items. We require assistance of counsel.

6

7

Due to our lack of assistance of counsel, we were not aware of the existence or necessity of a 'bill of particulars'. We have become aware of the importance of such bill of particulars and require the Prosecution to provide a bill of particulars for the record.

We require that the bill of particulars clearly identify the venue(s) of action. The

description of the penalties sought. The lawful intention of such penalties. If there

is intention to bring civil penalties, those must be identified. If there is intention

for detention, such shall be clearly laid out. We must know the nature of the party

record, we cannot verify assumptions of jurisdiction. Further specific clarifications

We are very concerned that the grand jury was not made aware of ANY of these

bringing the action – the principal, and its agents. Without such proof on the

nature of the action. The source of law. The nature of the liability. A complete

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

EXHIBIT L Page 2 of 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(a) The chief judge of the district court, or such other district court judge as the plan may provide, on his initiative or upon recommendation of the clerk or jury commission, or the clerk under supervision of the court if the court's jury selection plan so authorizes, shall determine solely on the basis of information provided on the juror qualification form and other competent evidence whether a

service. The clerk shall enter such determination in the space provided on the juror qualification form and in any alphabetical list of names

person is unqualified for, or exempt, or to be excused from jury

drawn from the master jury wheel. If a person did not appear in response

to a summons, such fact shall be noted on said list.

Sec. 1865. Qualifications for jury service

(b) In making such determination the chief judge of the district court, or such other district court judge as the plan may provide, or the clerk if the court's jury selection plan so provides, shall deem any person qualified to serve on grand and petit juries in the district court unless he--

(1) is not a citizen of the United States eighteen years old who

has resided for a period of one year within the judicial district;

<u>Sections 81 - 131 of this chapter show the territorial</u>

composition of districts and divisions by counties as of

January 1, 1945. Title 28 USC 1865.

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

EXHIBIT L Page 3 of 14

We do not believe that we reside in such judicial district, or that we committed crime in such district, or that the 'grand jury' that allegedly indicted us was composed of persons from such judicial district, and require the court to provide proof on record for each of these three requirements.

Prove: That there is such judicial district within the state of Washington.

Prove: That we live in such district judicial district.

Prove: That we are United States citizens.

Prove: That crime was committed in such judicial district.

Prove: That the 'grand jury' was composed of citizens at least 18 years of age from such district who have resided there for over a year.

We do not know ANYONE who actually resides on federal territory, and are thus concerned that the 'grand jury' that was seated in our alleged 'indictment' was NOT a lawfully qualified jury. Finding U.S. citizens to fill the jury would have been an unlikely and difficult task, especially since such citizens must have resided within the territory for a year prior to their service. A year is a long time to live in a campground or post office. Was our jury solely filled by military folks living on the base? Is there another United States territory in the Eastern District of Washington where residence qualifies citizens for the jury?

We believe the law is intentionally biased towards granting a jury of 'United States Citizens' rather than our peers. We believe that such travesty can only occur under

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

1	color-of-law, which is not God's law. God's law says that the agency should have
2	come to us with its grievance. We should have had opportunity for communication
	and remediation prior to initiation of conflict. Then, and only then, would we be
3	tried by a jury of OUR peers. Twelve upright men with property who are wise,
4	spiritually and juristically. They would be able to see the truth. The truth would
5	set us free. That is God's intention for our land, and for our lives.
6	The lack of godly and lawful juries is further proof of war time emergency power
7	usurpation of authority and tyranny imposed upon the freeborn inhabitants of this
	land of the United States of America.
8	We believe that there was not a true "Grand Jury" called in our
9	case, and will require discovery to prove the nature of the Grand
10	jury that allegedly brought indictment against us.
11	While we do not have assistance of counsel to assist in this matter, we believe it is
12	the court's duty to verify the composition of the grand jury which we were not
13	invited to meet, and our sixth amendment guaranteed assistance of counsel was not
14	allowed to attend.
15	I hereby attest and affirm under penalty of perjury of the United States that the
	Grand Jury members allowed to sit in the indictment of Jon Scott Vrieling and
16	Patricia Dionn Vrieling were citizens of the United States who are English
17	speaking, and over the age of 18 and have been citizens of the district for over a
18	year, as required by positive law, 28 USC 1865.
19	yesno Signed
20	
21	
	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
22	EXHIBIT L Page 5 of 14

1	JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES:
2	The prosecution will be required to show proof of jurisdiction in each of the
3	following seven areas:
4	
5	THIS COURT MUST TAKE NOTICE OF THE ORGANIC LAWS AS THE LAW
6	OF THE LAND, as outlined in ER103(2) OFFER OF PROOF NO 11, EXHIBIT #J
7	Provide answers/discovery in proof of the following:
	Are the charges, all counts of indictment, based on laws of the state of the non
8	corporate state of Washington?
9	yesno Signed
10	Proof:
11	
12	Are the charges, all counts of indictment, based on laws of the corporate State of
13	Washington?
14	yesno Signed
15	Proof:
16	
17	Are the charges, all counts of indictment, based on the Articles of Confederation,
18	and applicable to the one people, the freeborn inhabitants of the United States of
19	America?
20	yesno Signed
21	Proof:
21	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
, ,	

- 1	
1	Are the charges, all counts of indictment, based on the Constitution, with limited
2	application to the territories of the United States, and citizens of the United States
3	yesno Signed
4	Proof:
5	Are the charges, all counts of the indictment, based on foreign law?
6	yesno Signed
7	Proof:
8	ACCUSED MUST BE PROPERLY IDENTIFIED as outlined in ER103(2) offer
9	of proof Number 12, EXHIBIT K
10	Are the accused defendants both citizens of the United States?
	yesno Signed
11	Proof:
12	Are either of the accused defendants agents, officers, employees, contractors,
13	trustees, or ANY manner of entity dependent on the United States for the
14	determination of its character, duty, or rights?
15	yesno Signed
16	Proof:
17	
18	Are the accused defined as persons in relationship to Title 26 Subtitle A Income
19	taxes?
20	yesno Signed
21	
22	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
	EXHIBIT L Page 7 of 14

1	Proof:
2	Are the accused defined as individuals in relationship to Title 26 Subtitle A Income
3	taxes?
4	yesno Signed
5	Proof:
	Are the accused defined as taxpayers in re relationship to Title 26 Subtitle A
6	Income taxes?
7	yes no Signed
8	Proof:
9	
10	STATUTE MUST BE IDENTIFED, as outlined in ER103(2) OFFER OF PROOF
۱1	NO. 13, EXHIBIT L
12	What statute makes us such persons, individuals, or taxpayers according to Title 26
13	Subtitle A Income tax?
14	
15	What criminal liabilty statute are we charged with?
16	yesno Signed
17	Proof:
18	Is that a criminal liability statute according to the Internal Revenue Manual?
19	yesno Signed
20	Proof:
21	
22	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
	EXHIBIT L Page 8 of 14

Ш

$_1$	Is that a criminal liability statute according to the Internal Revenue Code
2	yesno Signed
3	Proof:
4	Is that a criminal liability statute according to the United States Code
5	yesno Signed
6	Proof:
7	Is that a criminal liability statute according to the Code of Federal Regulation?
8	yesno Signed
9	Proof:
	Have the statute/s that we are allegedly liable for considered Positive Law?
1	yesno Signed
2	Proof:
3	Are the statute/s that we are allegedly liable for applicable to inhabitants of the
4	United States of America?
5	yesno Signed
5	Proof:
,	Are the statute/s that we are allegedly liable for applicable to inhabitants of the
3	United States?
l	yesno Signed
)	Proof:
)	
1	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
1	EXHIBIT L Page 9 of 14

1	Have the following been made a part of discovery to date? If no, please provide:
2	1. The constitutional oath of office, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 3331
3	2. The civil commission as agent or officer of Government of the United States
4	3. Either your personal surety bond or the surety bond of the principal officer responsible for your appointment.
5	These documents should all be filed as public records. See 5 U.S.C. § 2906
6	for requirements concerning filing oaths of office. FOR:
7	a. Mr. George Jacobs;
8	vec no Signed
9	yesno Signed
10	Proof:
11	b. Mr. Stephen Maciboba;
12	yesno Signed
13	Proof:
14	c. Ms Virgina Keys.
15	yesno Signed
16	Proof:
17	d. Mr. Eric Lee
18	
19	yesno Signed
20	Proof:
21	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
22	EXHIBIT L Page 10 of 14

1	If any of the above are lacking, we would also require the same three items from
2	Judge Robert H. Whaley.
3	yesno Signed
4	Proof
5	
6	We require that this information be a part of the record in the case entitled above.
7	In the event that the court and the prosecution are unable to provide the required
8	documentation, this Motion will serve as common law retraxit as justification for
	the finalization of the current action and a common law protection against further
9	action by the plaintiff in regard to the tax years 1998-2012. We are justified in the
10	expansion of the dates in that lack of any ONE of the above items would preclude
11	action in the future for actions already resolved as of this 2 nd day of October, 2012.
12	If the agency/agencies/departments/officers/agents intend to continue this current
13	action all items must be provided, none may be omitted.
	Any lack of required discovery will be assumed to be due to lack of jurisdiction
14	over the subject, the geographic territory, and the persons.
15	We submit the attached Affidavit of Facts as proof of our understanding of the
16	answers to these questions, as well as for resolution of the alleged requirement to
17	submit Information Returns for the tax years 1998-2012. Such submission does
18	not imply that we are taxpayers or subjects of the United States. We require lawful
19	notice and lawful demand from lawful authority as indication of any future
	relationship with the United States.
20	
21	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
~~	

EXHIBIT L Page 11 of 14

1	We submit this motion for discovery as AS AN ER 103 (2) OFFER OF PROOF of
2	retraxit, NO, Exhibit in the above entitled manner.
3	WE REQUIRE THE COURT TO TAKE NOTICE OF OFFER OF PROOF:
5	That we are submitting as ER 201 Mandatory Judicial Notice submitted as
6	CR 8 (d) undisputed affidavit as an ER 902 self-authenticating document with
7	CR 56(e) & ER personal knowledge and sworn to under the penalties of
8	perjury, as: EXHIBIT #L AS ER 103(2) OFFER OF PROOF NO 13:
9	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY WITH RETRAXIT AS PROOF
10	Come now before this court, the declarants Jon Scott and Patricia Dionn
11	Vrieling -To make declaration of Truth with Offer of Proof in the matter of
12	as the 5 U.S.C. 556 (e) exclusive record for decision in accordance with section
13	5 U.S.C. exclusive record for decision in accordance with section 5 U.S.C. 557,
14 15	23 CFR 6343-1(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. 7804 (b).
16	UNITED STATES, operating as a Territorial Government of the United States of
17	America, bringing against J. SCOTT AND PATRICIA DIONN VRIELING
18	charges of 26USC7203 in a cause of action showing case number CR-11-57-RHW
19	in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington, United States District Judge, ROBERT WHALEY presiding, United States Assistant District
20	Attorney, GEORGE C. JACOBS, III representing the United States.
21	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
22	EXHIBIT L Page 12 of 14
- 1	EATHDIT L rage 12 of 14

PENALTY OF PERJURY:

O God of the Angel Armies, no one fools you. You see through everyone,

everything. I want to see You pay them back for what they've done. I rest my

case with You. Sing to God! All praise to God! He saves the weak from the

grip of the wicked." Jeremiah 20:12

We, Jon Scott Vrieling and Patricia Dionn Vrieling, freeborn inhabitants of the land in Washington, living freely together as man and wife under the divine laws of God and the Organic Laws of the land, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is a TRUE and correct statement of our beliefs.

Executed on October 1, 2011

Jon Scott Vrieling

And

Patricia Dionn Vrieling

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

EXHIBIT L Page 13 of 14

Notary Public

On the date set out below, the foregoing Motion for Discovery with Affidavit of Material Facts was sworn and signed in my presence by Patricia Vrieling, known to me.

My commission expires

08/19/2013

Notary Public

10/01/1012 Date

Notary Public
State of Washington
GILES J. ISAACSON
My Appointment Expires Aug 19, 2013

SEAL:

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AS RETRAXIT WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

EXHIBIT L Page 14 of 14